

Wireless 9-1-1 Deployment Status Non-Participating PSAPs

- **Atherton PD** - (officially withdrew original letter) Jurisdiction has only two cell towers in close proximity and about 95% of calls belong to other PSAPs; majority of calls would have to be transferred out again. Only one dispatcher per shift, the PSAP is concerned about their capacity to handle an increase in call volume and the amount of calls transferred out.
- **USN China Lake ECC** - The USN San Diego Centralized ECC installed an IP based Hosted Positron Viper system (to include USN China Lake and 3 other Naval Bases) and began taking calls, the routing did not “interact” well with USN China Lakes local serving Verizon tandem. There were limitations with AT&T provided ESNs. Currently, wireless calls for USN China Lake ECC are answered by the Ridgecrest Police Department and then are transferred to USN Regional Dispatch. The USN has been in talks with AT&T regarding this process.
- **East Bay Regional Park District** – EBRPD has a unique jurisdictional situation, most all of their property (parks, trails, open land etc.) crosses through just about every city in Alameda and Contra Costa County. Example the Iron Horse Trail runs north and south through Pleasanton, Dublin, San Ramon, Danville, Alamo, Walnut Creek and Concord but their jurisdiction is only ten feet on either side of the trail. The PSAP feels it is safer to have the local jurisdiction take the call and transfer it to them versus trying to figure out if the call is coming from the car driving across the trail or from the house that’s fifteen feet away from the trail. They do have property that belongs to East Bay Regional Park District, and calls that come from the middle of a large park could be routed directly to them but are unsure how to make this happen.
- **Fort Hunter Liggett FD** – Would require transfer back out to appropriate PSAP in the vast majority of instances; would be unproductive and very burdensome to limited staffing. Not opposed when 100% LAT/LONG (X/Y) routing becomes available.
- **Travis AFB** - Indicated they do take wireless calls. Wireless calls are directed from either AT&T or Verizon cell towers on base, and then routed directly to their 9-1-1 Operators
- **Cal State Poly Pomona PD** - PSAP is working with management for approval for Letter of Agency (LOA). CA9-1-1 Branch will work with PSAP and provide any information or evidence to show what the PSAP can expect (projected call volume increase, sectors they can expect to take on, etc.)
- **CSU Fresno PD** –Not opposed to taking Wireless calls directly and have indicated they have contacted the County Coordinator to facilitate sector reevaluation.
- **CSU Northridge PD** – PSAP has indicated that their Letter of Agency (LOA) has been prepared and is on the Chief’s desk for signature.
- **CSU San Jose PD** – Is willing to start the planning process now. Technology has been improving in identifying the location of the caller which has been their biggest concern with receiving wireless calls. PSAP will make arrangements with the County Coordinator. CSU San Jose PDs

Thursday, May 07, 2015

physical jurisdiction is very small and wants to avoid the vast majority of wireless 911 calls coming from the densely populated area surrounding the University.